

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9834 of 2017

Date of Decision: August 10, 2017

Promila

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- None.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

In the order dated 09.05.2017, it had been observed that a perusal of Annexure P-3 would make it evident that a larger number of selected candidates' roll numbers had been disclosed and therefore, if the said result shown in the said Annexure was set aside without hearing the selected candidates, their rights would be affected.

On the aforesaid observation having been made, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought time to make necessary amendment in the writ petition. The matter was then adjourned to 29.05.2017. On that date, it had been observed that the earlier order had not been complied with, but in order to give one more opportunity, it had been ordered to be adjourned, specifically stating that if the earlier order, i.e. 09.05.2017, is not complied with by today, the petition would be dismissed.

Today, neither has the order been complied with, nor in fact is the counsel for the petitioner present on second call also.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

August 10, 2017	(Amol Rattan Singh)
vcgarg	Judge
Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	no