

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9825 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 09, 2017

Darshana Devi and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Director and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jimmy Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the son of the petitioners died after little more than two months of his joining in service. The petitioners have made representation Annexure P-4 for release of ex-gratia amount, death-cum-gratuity and GIS.

The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner Annexure P-4 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.1 to consider the representation of the petitioners Annexure P-4 and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is needless to say that if petitioners are found entitled for some benefits, the same be released to them forthwith, otherwise a speaking order will be passed for denying the same.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 09, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No