

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9808 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 8.5.2017

Kulbhushan Kumar Rishi Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dishant Rishi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It is contended that two annual increments of the petitioner were stopped with cumulative effect in the year 2006 without getting approval from the Punjab Public Service Commission, Patiala, (respondent No. 3 herein). When the petitioner pointed out the same, the case of the petitioner was forwarded to respondent No. 3. However, no final decision has yet been taken thereon. The petitioner had earlier made a representation dated 24.9.2013 (Annexure-P-13) to respondent No. 1, however, no decision is being taken on the same. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondent No. 1 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 24.9.2013 (Annexure-P-13) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 24.9.2013 (Annexure-P-13) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No