

130

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9803 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 8.5.2017

Harinder Singh Oberoi and others Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim the benefit of judgment dated 8.7.2016, passed by this Court in CWP No. 6678 of 2014, titled as Amar Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others, (Annexure-P-3), to change the option. The petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 5.1.2017 (Annexure-P-4) upon respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer made at this stage is to direct respondents to consider and decide the said legal notice dated 5.1.2017 (Annexure-P-4) in accordance with the law.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, respondent No. 2 is directed to consider the legal notice of the petitioners dated 5.1.2017 (Annexure-P-4) in the light of the judgment of this Court passed in Amar Singh's case (supra) and decide the same within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No