

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9798-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.07.2017**

Jagdish Mitter

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Ms. Veena Kumari, Advocate for petitioner.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

CM-9319-CWP-2017:

Prayer made in the application is for preponement of the date of hearing in the main writ petition.

However, at the time of hearing, learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that after the filing of the instant application, grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and as such, counsel prays for disposal of the main writ petition itself.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Avinit Avasthi, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice. A complete copy of the application already stands furnished to learned State counsel.

With the consent of the counsel for the parties, the date in the main writ petition is preponed from 13.09.2017 and the same is taken up on Board today itself.

Application is disposed of.

Main case:

Petitioner, who is stated to be 100% visually impaired has filed the instant petition seeking transfer/adjustment against a vacant post at a particular school in Hoshiarpur district.

Counsel representing the petitioner states that after filing of the petition, the respondent/authorities have issued orders and in terms of which the petitioner has been suitably adjusted.

Counsel states that she has instructions not to pursue the instant petition.

As per statement made by counsel appearing for the petitioner, writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

27.07.2017*harjeet***(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|------|----------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether Reportable? | Yes/No |