

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-9789-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:08.12.2017**

Harish Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Pleadings on record would indicate that the petitioner was appointed as a Clerk under the Directorate Education Department, State of Punjab in the year 1998. In the year 2016, he was posted as Junior Assistant in the office of District Education Officer, Senior Secondary, Pathankot. Vide order dated 04.05.2016 (Annexure P-1), petitioner was placed under suspension.

The instant writ petition was filed raising a limited claim that subsistence allowance has not been released to the petitioner.

Order of suspension, however, is not under challenge. In the joint reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, a stand has been that the petitioner has since been reinstated vide order dated 02.08.2017 subject to outcome of the departmental proceedings. Furthermore, in para 5 of the written statement, it has been stated that the subsistence allowance for the period of suspension has already been released to the petitioner and credited

into his savings account No.20023608195 of State Bank of India, Sujampur, Pathankot. Even a copy of the pay order has been appended as Annexure R-1 along with written statement.

In view of such categoric stand taken on behalf of the State, nothing survives in the instant petition and the same is disposed of.

08.12.2017

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No