

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9771 of 2017 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 8.5.2017

Gurnam Singh ..... Petitioner (s)  
Versus  
State of Punjab and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner claims that he retired from service on 30.11.2016. Thereafter on 14.12.2016, some of his juniors were promoted and even some of the retired officers were given notional promotion as Inspectors. However, the name of the petitioner was not considered. The petitioner has already made a representation dated 20.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3) to respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer at this stage is to direct respondents to decide the said representation dated 20.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to pass a speaking order regarding claim of the petitioner made in the representation dated 20.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

8.5.2017  
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes  
Whether Reportable : No