

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9684 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 05.05.2017**

SABMiler India Limited and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

*CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL*

*Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sahni, Advocate for the petitioners.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J.(Oral)

The petitioners are entitled to file an appeal and to seek interim orders therein. The petitioners, in fact, intend doing so. There is no warrant for entertaining this petition which merely seeks interim reliefs till the petitioners file an appeal before the Tribunal.

It is stated that the petitioners have been orally informed that recovery proceedings will be initiated despite the fact that in law the respondents are not entitled to do so. There is nothing on record that indicates that the respondents would act illegally. If they are entitled to recover the amounts in the absence of a stay order, the petitioners can always seek interim reliefs before the Tribunal, if so advised.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

05.05.2017
kanchan

*Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*