

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9589 of 2017

Date of Decision : 01.09.2017

Gurmukh Singh alias Charan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Amandeep Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. S.C.Pathela, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 9.2.2017, rendered by this Court in CWP No.5861 of 2014 (Gurdial Singh Vs. Land Acquisition Tribunal and others) and other connected matters, vide which, in the petitions arising from the same acquisition, this Court had enhanced the compensation to Rs.2,69,312/- per acre.

It is urged that the petitioner too is entitled to the same compensation. However, he submits that although, the petitioner was also awarded compensation as regards fruit bearing trees but no further enhancement is being prayed for and the petitioner give up his claims, if any, in this regard.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned counsel for the respondents.

In conspectus of the above, the petition is disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the case of Gurdial Singh (supra). Needless to assert that the petitioner too shall be entitled to the same compensation as was awarded to their co-landowners in the matter referred to above.

01.09.2017

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No