

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9575 of 2017
Date of Decision: 05.05.2017**

Amit Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents authorities to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Junior Draftsman in terms of the order dated 20.05.2014 passed by the this Court in ***CWP No. 15308 of 2010*** titled as ***Navjiwan vs. State of Punjab and others.***

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner has already approached the respondents-Department by filing a representation dated 16.09.2016 (Annexure P-9) which is still pending. At the present moment, a limited prayer is made that the respondents may be directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and in view of the limited prayer made, without going into the merits of the case, the respondents are hereby directed to decide the representation dated 16.09.2016 (Annexure P-9) by passing a speaking order within a period of

three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to the relief as sought by way of this writ petition, the same be granted to him within a period of one month thereafter.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

May 05, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No