

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(111)

CWP-9438-2017

Decided on: May 04, 2017.

Lakhvir Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The petitioner, through instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeks a writ for quashing of order dated 21.04.2017 Annexure P-3 wherein it is mentioned that the bid of the petitioner having been accepted, he was required to deposit 50% of the bid amount within two days of the receipt of letter and in the event of his failure, security amount of Rs.1,00,000/- would stand forfeited.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it was not the bid of the petitioner which had been accepted but the petitioner has been offered contract of scooter parking of District Administrative Complex, Jalandhar being the third highest bidder.

The petitioner has submitted his grievance before the Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar vide Annexure P-4.

It appears that the writ is pre-mature as the right of the petitioner to refund the earnest bid money would depend upon the terms and conditions of the auction notice and the relevant rules and regulations.

This petition is disposed of as pre-mature without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to pursue the alternative remedy of approaching the Deputy Commissioner concerned.

In case, any adverse order is passed, it will be open to the petitioner to approach appropriate forum for refund of the earnest money.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 04, 2017
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No