

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9417 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : May 03, 2017**

**Jagjit Singh**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Ms. Sangita Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner retired as Assistant Sub Inspector from Punjab Police on 31.03.2009. It is stated that he was not granted the benefits of ACP on completion of 9-14 years of service, whereas his junior was granted the benefits of ACP. The petitioner has moved representation dated 23.01.2017 (Annexure P-9).

The short prayer at this stage is that respondents may be directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 23.01.2017 (Annexure P-9) in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 23.01.2017 (Annexure P-9) and pass a speaking order thereon within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**May 03, 2017**

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No