

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9414 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 03, 2017

Nazar Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim refixation of the pension and grant of pension in terms of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.2866 of 2014, titled as “*Karanvir Singh and others vs State of Punjab*”, decided on 30.09.2016. The petitioners have already move representation dated 18.11.2016 (Annexure P-4).

The short prayer of the petitioners at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioners dated 18.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners dated 18.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, it is further directed that if any SLP has been filed against the order/judgment of *Karanvir Singh's case (supra)*, the representation of the petitioners will be decided within two months from the date of decision of the SLP.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 03, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No