

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 938 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 20.1.2017

Rajinder Kaur Bains

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that her retiral benefits were released with delay. She claims 18% per annum interest on the delayed payments. She has already served a legal notice dated 8.12.2016 (Annexure-P-6) upon respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer made at this stage is that respondents may be directed to decide the aforesaid legal notice.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to respondents, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to examine the legal notice dated 8.12.2016 (Annexure-P-6) and decide the same by passing a speaking order and if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to interest on the delayed payments, the same be paid as per the Government instructions. The necessary exercise be done within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

20.1.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned

: Yes

Whether Reportable

: No