

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9301 of 2017
Date of Decision : 03.05.2017**

Ravinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The grievance raised in the present petition is that the claim of the petitioner has not been decided. The petitioner had filed a writ petition bearing CWP No.21376 of 2014 which was disposed of with a direction to the Home Secretary i.e. respondent No.3 (in that case also and in this case also) to decide his representation. Now the representation has been rejected on the ground that the Home Secretary was not competent to take a decision and in fact the petitioner should have informed this Court about the authority competent to take the decision. The main grievance of the petitioner is that even if the petitioner inadvertently did not mention the correct authority there was nothing to stop the Home Secretary to refer the

matter to the competent authority and the prayer now made is that the

competent authority should be directed to consider the claim.

I find this to be a fair request. In the circumstances, the representation of the petitioner (referred to in CWP No.21376 of 2014) be referred to the respondent No.2 so that it can be considered by the competent authority. Let the speaking order be passed thereon within a period of three months by the respondent No.2 from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

May 03, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No