

CWP No. 9288 of 2017 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 9288 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 18.05.2017**

Sohan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J.(Oral)

CM No. 7454-CWP-2017

Application is allowed and reply filed on behalf of respondents

No. 2 and 3 is taken on record.

CWP No. 9288 of 2017

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents states that the petitioner's work was never stopped and that it was the petitioner, who requested the respondents to allocate a part of the work to other parties.

The petitioner on the other hand contends that he was compelled to do so including in writing. It is not necessary, however, to express any opinion in this regard in view of the statement made on behalf of the respondents that the petitioner has not been prevented from doing the

work and will not be prevented from doing the work. The statement is accepted. In view thereof it is not even necessary for the petitioner to file a replication to the written statement.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of in terms of the statements made on behalf of the respondents, which are accepted.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

18.05.2017
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No