

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No. 9229 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 28, 2017

Harbans Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.1 – UOI

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. On 01.08.2017, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for 4th respondent submitted that wrongly respondent No.4 has been typed as Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, District Sangrur instead of Superintendent of Post. Therefore, petitioner is hereby directed to make necessary amendment by filing application for amendment of memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the respondents seek time.

In the present petition, petitioner has sought for a direction to the respondents to consider his name for appointment to the post of Gramin Dak Sewak against advertisement dated 07.04.2017.

It was noticed that original jurisdiction relating to the grievance in the present petition lies before the Central Administrative Tribunal in view of the Section 14 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985. Petitioner has to satisfy relating to jurisdiction of this Court on the

next date of hearing.

List this matter on 06.10.2017.”

2. Thereafter, matter was listed on two occasions. It is evident that the petitioners' grievance are relating to the post of Gramin Dak Sewak. The post in question is part and parcel of Postal Department. Therefore, writ is not maintainable. Petitioners have remedy before the Central Administrative Tribunal.

3. Accordingly, writ petition stands dismissed, reserving liberty to the petitioners to approach the appropriate forum.

November 28, 2017
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No