

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9153 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.5.2017

Murli Dhar and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anand Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The short prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the qualifying service should be treated from the date of their joining the service, in terms of a Division Bench judgment of this Court, passed in LPA-1318-2015, titled as State of Haryana and others Parkash Chand Sharma and others, alongwith connected cases, decided on 21.3.2017 (Annexure-P-11). The petitioners have already served a legal notice dated 12.7.2016 (Annexure-P-8) upon respondents, but to no avail. The petitioners want that their aforesaid legal notice should be decided in the light of Division Bench judgment of this Court in Parkash Chand Sharma's case (supra), in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the legal notice dated 12.7.2016 (Annexure-P-8) of the petitioners and decide the same after considering the Division Bench

CWP No. 9153 of 2017 (O/M)

judgment of this Court in Parkash Chand Sharma's case (supra) and other relevant law on the point, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No