

124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9114 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 1.5.2017

Smt. Manjit Kaur Petitioner (s)
Versus
State of Punjab and another Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.K. Banka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The husband of the petitioner Tarvinder Singh died on 25.12.2016. Earlier, he had worked on 89 days basis prior to 13.6.1996 with respondent-Department of Technical Education and Industrial Training, Punjab. It is claimed that the husband of the petitioner is covered under the pension scheme in terms of the judgment rendered by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP-2371-2010, titled as Harbans Lal Versus The State of Punjab and others, decided on 31.8.2010 (Annexure-P-4). The deceased husband had made some representations to respondents, but the copies of the same are not stated to be available with the petitioner.

In these circumstances, as requested, let the petitioner make a fresh representation to department, giving all the details for making claim and let the department consider the said representation within two months from the date of filing the same after considering the case law on the point.

In view of the matter, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No