

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9113 of 2017
Date of decision:01.05.2017**

Malwa Modern Rice & General Mills and another ... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. J.S.Moudgill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioners-firm is that respondents-truck union is extending the threats to the petitioners of dire consequences if the transportation of the manufactured machineries/material is not done through their union and on various occasions, the drivers of the petitioners-firm were attacked, stopped and money was extracted from them.

In this regard, a representation dated 11.03.2017 (Annexure P- 2) was submitted to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken so far. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will be satisfied if an appropriate action is taken on the representation dated 11.03.2017 (Annexure P-2) by respondent No.2 within a time bound manner.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the representation made by the petitioners vide Annexure P-2 within a period of

one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If the claim of the petitioners is found justified, the respondent No.2 shall give appropriate direction to provide security to the petitioner in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No