

**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9093 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 01, 2017

Sh. M.R. Batra

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The grouse of the petitioner is that the pay of the petitioner was fixed in the year 1964 @ ₹90/- per month but it should have been ₹130/- per month. On attaining the age of superannuation, the petitioner retired from service on 31.03.2004.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 01, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No