

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

FAO No.8340 of 2014(O & M)

Date of Decision:18.04.2017

Joginder Singh & Ors.

....appellants

Versus

Government of India and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Shubhashish Kukreti, Advocate for
Mr.Paramjit Rajput, Advocate for the appellants

Mr.R.S.Madan, Advocate for the respondents

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Concededly, only the respondents had preferred objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short, 'the Act'), against the arbitral award dated 05.03.2012. The appellants were the respondents in the said proceedings and did not express any grievance against the award rendered by the arbitrator. The objections preferred by respondents No.1 and 2 were dismissed vide impugned judgment dated 17.02.2014. One wonders as to how the appellants could assail the order and judgment dated 17.02.2014, without taking recourse to the provisions of Section 34 of the Act.

Learned counsel for the appellants could not explain as to how this appeal shall even be maintainable. That being so, the court is choiceless but to dismiss the appeal.

Ordered accordingly.

April 18, 2017

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No
Yes/No