

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

**CWP-9034-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:08.05.2017**

Rajender Sharma and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Deepak Kundu, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

CM No. 6744 of 2017

This is an application for placing on record Annexure P/10 and for permission to file photocopy of the same with exemption from filing certified copy of the same. Heard. In view of the reasons mentioned in the application and affidavit, same is allowed.

Annexure P/10 is taken on record.

Main case.

The petitioner has questioned the validity of advertisement dated 20.02.2016 (Annexure P2) and also rules of recruitment in respect of Grid Substation Operator (GSO) (as one time recruitment against promotion post) dated 29.01.2016 (Annexure P8). The prescribed qualification for the post of Grid Substation Operator (GSO) reads as under:-

“1.7 Grid Substation Operator (GSO) (as one time recruitment against promotion post)

a) 3 years diploma in Electrical/Electrical & Electronics Engineering from any Institute recognized by AICTE or by a State Board of Technical Education with a minimum 55% marks in respect of General Category candidates and 50% marks in respect of SC category candidates of Haryana domicile.

b) Must have passed Hindi/Sanskrit upto Matric Standard.”

2. The petitioner pursuant to the advertisement dated 20.02.2016 read with 29.01.2016 Annexures P2 and P8 submitted his application for the post of Grid Substation Operator (GSO). The petitioner's candidature was not considered by the selecting authority on the score that petitioner do not possess the qualification of 3 years diploma in Electrical/Electrical and Electronics Engineering from any Institute recognised by AICTE or by State Board of Technical Education. On the other hand, petitioner possess the qualification of diploma in Electronics and Communication Engineering. His contention is that the aforesaid qualification is equivalent to that of Electrical/Electrical and Electronics Engineering therefore, his candidature is required to be considered. It was further submitted that persons who are already working as GSO have the qualification other than the Electrical and Electronics Engineering. Therefore, the qualification possessed by the petitioner i.e. Electronics and Communication Engineering is to be treated as equivalent so as to consider his name for appointment to the post of Grid Substation Operator (GSO) pursuant to Annexures P2 and

P8. The petitioner has not produced any material to show that Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited have equated certain qualification to that of Electrical and Electronics Engineering so as to claim that qualification of Electronics and Communication Engineering (Diploma) is equivalent to that of Electrical and Electronics Engineering. In the absence of equivalency declared by the competent authority, the petitioner has no right to seek for equivalency. That apart, the petitioner after surrendering his right to selection in the year January, 2016 pursuant to Annexures P2 and P8 now he cannot turn around and question the validity of advertisement and amendment of rules of recruitment in particularly 1.7 as one time recruitment against promotion post. Thus, the petitioner has not made a case so as to interfere with Annexures P2 and P8.

3. CWP stands dismissed.

08.05.2017

pooja saini

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No