

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 903 of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.01.2017**

Sukhjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to consider the candidature of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Master (Social Study) as per the advertisement dated 20.11.2015.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has the necessary qualifications to be considered for appointment, however, the said appointment has not been offered to him.

Counsel for the petitioner is seeking a writ of Mandamus without approaching the department concerned first for redressal of his grievance. Faced with this, counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to approach respondent No. 2 by filing of a detailed representation. A request is made that the representation should be decided expeditiously.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner and without commenting

on the merits of the case, counsel for the petitioner is allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a representation before respondent No. 2 within a period of two weeks and respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the same within three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order in accordance with law.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

January 19, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No