IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

116 **Civil Writ Petition No.9018 of 2017**
Date of Decision: May 01, 2017

Balraj Singh and another ....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

*****

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioners.

*****

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The challenge in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the communications dated 20.04.2017 (**Annexures P-5 and P-6**) issued by the respondents vide which the bids submitted by the petitioners have been declared non-responsive on the ground that they do not fulfill Condition No.6 of the technical bid.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition. However, a prayer was made that the permission be granted to the petitioners to approach respondent No.2 by filing a detailed and comprehensive representation along with the relevant supporting material in respect of the claim made in this writ petition. It was also prayed that a direction be issued to the said respondent to decide the same in a time bound manner after affording an opportunity of hearing to them in accordance with law.

Sonia Kinra
2017.05.03 14:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we dispose of the present petition by granting liberty to the petitioners to file a detailed and comprehensive representation collectively along with the relevant supporting material to substantiate their claim as made in the present writ petition before respondent No.2 within a period of three days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, It is directed that in the event of representation being filed by the petitioners, the same shall be decided by respondent No.2 in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to them within a period of next seven days.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No

Sonia Kinra
2017.05.03 14:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document