

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8983 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 01, 2017

Gurbachan Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is the mother of Inspector Balkar Singh, who was working in Indo Tibetan Border Police Force and died in harness on 12.01.2017. He left behind his wife Sukhwinder Kaur and two sons. The wife of the deceased is not living with the petitioner. The petitioner claims her share in the terminal benefits of her son as admissible under the Rules. The petitioner has already issued a legal notice dated 03.03.2017 (Annexure P-3).

The short prayer of the petitioner at this stage is that respondent Nos.2 may be directed to decide his legal notice dated 03.03.2017 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No.2 to consider and decide his legal notice dated 03.03.2017 (Annexure P-3), in accordance with rules and pass a speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No