

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8962 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 01, 2017

Jiwan Shahi and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim refixation of the pension and grant of pension on the basis of 5th Pay Commission Report in terms of Government Circulars dated 17.08.2009, 22.02.2010 and 01.12.2011 (Annexures P-1 to P-3). The petitioners also claim the benefits of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.2866 of 2014, titled as “*Karanvir Singh and others vs State of Punjab*”, decided on 30.09.2016. The petitioners have already issued a legal notice dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure P-8).

The short prayer of the petitioners at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure P-8) by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 16.01.2017 (Annexure P-8) within two months from the date of

However, it is further directed that if any SLP has been filed against the order/judgment of *Karanvir Singh's case (supra)*, the legal notice of the petitioners will be decided within two months from the date of decision of the SLP.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 01, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No