

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8909 of 2017
Date of Decision:-28.04.2017.

Seema Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ivan Singh Khosa, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought for a direction to cancel the disciplinary action against her which has arisen during pendency of CWP No.27016 of 2016. She has further sought for payment of damages for harassing her etc. Under Article 226, the Court can interfere in respect of show cause notice and charge memo only on the ground of *mala fide*, competency and vagueness of the charge. In the present petition, the petitioner has not been charge-sheeted and what has been issued is only a show cause notice asking as to why the petitioner is not reporting for duties timely from time to time. Therefore, no interference is called for cancellation of show cause notice etc.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed reserving liberty to the petitioner to make necessary explanation to the show cause notice issued by the competent authority.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 28, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.