

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.889 of 2017

Date of decision: 19.1.2017

Jagrup Singh Plumber

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. SK Garg, Advocate, for
Mr. JPS Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

There is an equally efficacious alternative remedy available to the petitioner before the controlling authority under Sections 4 & 7 of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972. Against the orders passed by the controlling authority, the petitioner has remedy by way of appeal under Section 11 of the Act. Therefore, I am not inclined to interfere in the writ jurisdiction and would relegate the petitioner to pursue his remedy.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

19.1.2017

monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No