

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8880 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 28, 2017**

Amarjit Singh and others **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim re-fixation of the pension and grant of pension on the basis of 5th Pay Commission Report in terms of Government Circulars dated 17.08.2009, 22.02.2010 and 15.12.2011 (Annexures P-1 to P-3). The petitioners also claim the benefits of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.2866 of 2014, titled as “*Karanvir Singh and others vs State of Punjab*”, decided on 30.09.2016. The petitioners have already issued a legal notice dated 05.01.2017 (Annexure P-6).

The short prayer of the petitioners at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 05.01.2017 (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 05.01.2017 (Annexure P-6) within two months from the date of

However, it is further directed that if any SLP has been filed against the order/judgment of *Karanvir Singh's case (supra)*, the legal notice of the petitioners will be decided within two months from the date of decision of the SLP.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 28, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No