

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

104

CWP No.8785 of 2017

Date of decision:30.8.2017

Karan Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Haryana Power Generation Corporation Limited and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.J.K.Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner in this petition is seeking that he be given a date of regularization prior to that of one Ram Mehar, who joined as a T-Mate on 13.2.1978, but was regularized as a Helper Grade-I on 15.1.1986.

It is contended that the petitioner having joined as a T-Mate on work charge basis on 3.10.1977, deserves to be regularized as a Helper Grade-I from a date prior to 15.1.1986, whereas he was actually first promoted as a Work Mistri on 1.12.1977 and his services were 'regularized' in that capacity on 10.2.1992, after which he was promoted to the post of Helper Grade-I on 18.2.1993. Consequent changes in his dates of promotion on higher posts, with consequential benefits thereof are also prayed for.

Thus, the benefit sought by the petitioner is with regard to an event which took place, as regards Ram Mehar, on 15.1.1986, i.e. 31 years

earlier.

Mr.Goel, learned counsel for the petitioner, has tried to justify the delay by stating that the petitioner having worked on a very low grade post from which he has now retired, came to know about Ram Mehars' regularization only in the month of December, 2016, and thereafter immediately he got a legal notice issued to the respondents on 9.1.2017, to which he was given a reply on 7.2.2017 (Annexure P-6), rejecting his claim for regularization from a date prior to 15.1.1986.

No matter what the merits of the petitioners' case may be qua his claim to regularization prior to the aforesaid Ram Mehar, the petition, for whatever reason, having been filed 31 years after Ram Mehar was regularized and the legal notice also having been sent 31 years after Ram Mehars' regularization, and further, the petitioner having been promoted to various posts and eventually having retired in February, 2017, (as submitted by Mr.Goel), I see no reason to entertain this petition after such a long delay.

Consequently, finding no merit in this petition, it is dismissed in limine, but with no order as to costs.

30.8.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No