

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 16.05.2017

CWP No.8778 of 2017 (O&M)

Superintendent of Post Offices,
Department of Posts, Ferozepur

.... Petitioner

VS

Mangat Ram and ors.

.... Respondents

CWP No.8795 of 2017 (O&M)

Senior Superintendent, Post Offices,
Department of Post and Telegraphs, Jalandhar

.... Petitioner

VS

Bakhshi Ram and ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of above-mentioned writ petitions as common questions of law and fact is involved in them which can be conveniently dealt with by a common order.

Mr. Namit Kumar seeks to distinguish the decision of this Court rendered in *Senior Superintendent of Post Offices, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar vs. Darshan Ram (through LRs) & others, 2014 (9) SCT 120 (DB)* and *Senior Superintendent of Post Offices vs. Smt. Sham Dulari and others, 2006 (3) SCT 577* the ratio of which I followed and

applied in *CWP No.26514 of 2016* titled as *Senior Superintendent of Post Offices vs. Harbhajan Singh and others* and 10 other connected writ petitions decided on 28.03.2017 itself. He submits that against this decision the department had carried Special Leave Petition to the Supreme Court. The petition was dismissed but question of law was left open.

It is his case that the matter requires re-examination. However, since I am bound by the Division Bench judgment, I will respectfully follow the law even left open by the Supreme Court.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed in the same terms as in the aforesaid case.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

16.05.2017
sonia

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No