

127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8758 of 2017

Date of Decision : 27.04.2017

Suman Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner is that when he had to opt for a station during the transfer drive he could not opt for the most optimum station because the same was not reflected on the website due to some bug in the system of the respondents and in similar cases the respondents gave relief to similarly situated persons.

In my opinion, it would be appropriate if the respondent No.1 decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-5) by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

In the circumstances, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner

within 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 27, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No