

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8755 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 27, 2017**

Madan Gopal Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others ..Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has impugned the punishment order dated 31.12.2015 (Annexure P-3), vide which 10% cut in the pension for three years has been imposed upon the petitioner.

It comes out the petitioner has preferred the departmental appeal against the impugned order. It is stated that the said appeal is pending before respondent No.2 for the last one and half years and the same has not been decided so far.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the departmental appeal of the petitioner within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Till then the impugned order dated 31.12.2013 (Annexure P-3) will not be implemented.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 27, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No