

497

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO-6384-2015

Date of decision : 09.11.2017

Bhajna and another

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Santro Devi and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Sunil Saharan, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that he has made many efforts to contact his clients, but remained unsuccessful.

It appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the matter.

Dismissed as non-prosecution.

However, liberty is granted to the appellants to move an appropriate application for revival of the same in case something survives.

09.11.2017

Yogesh Sharma

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**