

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8669 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 27, 2017**

Jagan Nath and another **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Samra, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have retired from service as Junior Enigneers in the year 2016. They have already issued legal notice dated 22.02.2017 (Annexure P-2).

The short prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioners at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 22.02.2017 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioners dated 22.02.2017 (Annexure P-2) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order and if there is no legal impediment in releasing the retiral benefit, the same be released forthwith along with interest as per Government instructions.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 27, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No