

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8624 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 8.5.2017

Ram Kishan

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate, for,
Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that previously, the petitioner had approached this Court by way of filing CWP No. 3923 of 2015, titled as Ram Kishan Versus State of Haryana and others, which was decided by this Court on 16.9.2016 (Annexure-P-7), whereby he had challenged the order dated 24.2.2015, vide which a recovery of Rs. 5,91,902/- as penal rent for the family quarters at 300 times for the period from 10.6.2014 to 7.12.2014 was sought to be quashed. This Court, vide order dated 16.9.2016 (Annexure-P-7) came to the conclusion that as per Rule 5.23 of the Punjab Civil Services Rules, the penal rent cannot be more than 50 times and set aside the said order dated 24.2.2015 in terms of terms of the said rule. Now, respondents have passed the order, endorsed on 8.11.2016 (Annexure-P-8), vide which penal rent has now been calculated at 50 times.

It comes out that the matter has already been determined in the

CWP No. 8624 of 2017 (O/M)

previous litigation, in which it was held that penal rent at 50 times can be claimed. It being so, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Therefore, the present writ petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No