

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8623 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 27, 2017**

Tarsem Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. G.S. Samra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The prayer is for release of complete amount of leave encashment and balance gratuity. The petitioner has already filed a representation dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure P-2).

The short prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage is that the Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Nawanshahr, District S.B.S. Nagar, may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Nawanshahr, District S.B.S. Nagar to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure P-2) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order and if there is no legal impediment in

releasing the amount of leave encashment and balance gratuity, the same be released forthwith along with interest as per Government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 27, 2017
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No