

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8601 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 26.4.2017

Balvinder Singh Sohi and others

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners claim the benefit of judgment dated 29.3.2016 (Annexure-P-3), passed by a coordinate bench of this Court in CWP No. 13262 of 2012, titled as Narinder Pal Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others, which was decided alongwith three connected writ petitions. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have already made various representations (Annexure-P-5 Colly) to claim the same benefit. However, their said representations (Annexure-P-5 Colly) have not been decided so far. The short prayer at this stage is to direct respondents to decide the said representations (Annexure-P-5 Colly) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to consider and decide the representations of the petitioners (Annexure-P-5 Colly) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.4.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No