

118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 84 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 19<sup>th</sup> January, 2017

Wazir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Heard.

It comes out that the claim of the petitioner is for payment of pension, leave encashment, gratuity and arrears. It also comes out that the Labour Court, Patiala has passed the award to reinstate the petitioner with continuity of his service and consequential benefits. Therefore, the petitioner had availed remedy to execute the award dated 01.10.1997 from the Labour Court, Patiala. Further, it comes out that the petitioner had filed before this Court a writ petition for deciding the representation dated 10.09.2002. This Court vide order dated 21.01.2003 passed in CWP No. 896 of 2003, directed the respondent-General Manager to take a final decision on the said representation dated 10.09.2002 by passing a speaking order. It is claimed that no such speaking order has been passed till date.

Therefore, the petitioner can file a contempt petition, however, a separate petition is not maintainable.

The present petition is accordingly, disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**19<sup>th</sup> January, 2017**

hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No