

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8357 of 2017
Date of decision: 24.04.2017**

Raj Kumar & ors.

.... Petitioners

vs.

Union of India & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are Class IV employees serving in BSF. They have been transferred to serve in various places in Punjab. Though I find no illegality in the transfer order but the petitioners have served legal notice (Annexure P-1) bringing grievance to the notice of the authority that these transfer would cause them undue hardship.

I have no doubt that the transferring authority will pay due regard to the legal notice, revisit their cases and examine if any of them has a genuine grievance to be redressed.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of with a request that in case they have not physically moved as on today, they may be immediately heard and informed of the reasons of their transfer and if any, undue hardship has resulted . Let the exercise be completed within a period of one week by passing brief appropriate orders.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

24.04.2017
sonia

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes
No