

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8300 of 2017

Date of Decision : 24.04.2017

Birender Singh @ Virender Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner claims that pursuant to reinstatement (after termination orders were set aside) the respondents have wrongly fixed a grade pay and have otherwise has not granted him all the consequential benefits.

In my opinion, it would be appropriate if in the first instance the petitioner moves a self contained representation to the respondent no.2 who would decide the same by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction that in case any such representation is moved within a period of one month from today the same be decided by the respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of 3 months thereafter.

CWP No.8300 of 2017

2

Further if the petitioner is found entitled to any relief claimed the same be granted to him within the aforesaid period. It is made clear that if any due relief is not granted to the petitioner within the aforesaid period he would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 8% p.a. from the date/s the amount/s fell due till the date/s of payment.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 24, 2017
joyti Rani

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No