

**127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8206 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : April 21, 2017

S.K. Brutta

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for
Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no departmental proceedings are pending against the petitioner but his leave encashment is not being released. The petitioner has already issued legal notice dated 18.12.2016 (Annexure P-6).

The short prayer at this stage is that respondent Nos.2 and 4 may be directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 18.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) within a given time frame.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 and 4 to decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 18.12.2016 (Annexure P-6) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If there is no legal impediment in releasing the leave encashment of the petitioner, the same be released to him immediately.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 21, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No