

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP 8016 of 2017
Date of decision: 20.4.2017

Azad Singh

Petitioner

vs.

Bar Association Narwana and another

Respondent

Present: Mr. Azad Singh, Advocate

M.M.S.BEDI,J.

The claim of the petitioner appears to have already been taken into consideration in exercise of powers under Rules 11(a) of the Bar Associations (Constitution and Registrations) Rules, 2015 by the Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana vide order dated 4.9.2016 (Annexure P-2) requiring the Bar Association, Narwana to give an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner before passing any order. Resolution dated 16.8.2016 passed against the petitioner has already been set aside.

The petitioner claims that despite there being an order passed by the Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana, no action has been taken by the Bar Association, Narwana. The petitioner has already approached the Chairman, Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana vide Annexure P-4.

The High Court in exercise of writ jurisdiction is not supposed to act as an executing Court for the implementation of the order passed by the Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana.

Since the petitioner has already approached the Chairman, Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana vide Annexure P-4, notice of motion to respondent No.2.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Chairman Bar Council of Punjab and Haryana has put in appearance in Court. He has been handed over a copy of the petition.

The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Chairman of Bar Council, Punjab and Haryana today by 4 O'clock. The Chairman, Bar Council will ensure that effective order is passed for the implementation of the order dated 4.9.2016.

April 20 ,2017
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No