

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.8002 of 2017.

Date of Decision: April 20, 2017

Mukesh Kumar Jain

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has a dispute with private-respondents, who are his co-sharers in the agricultural land fully described in para No.2 of the writ petition. Though civil litigation is already pending between the parties, the petitioner has filed the instant writ petition alleging that private-respondents have violated Section 10 of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975 read with Section 12 of the Punjab Scheduled Roads and Controlled Areas Restriction of Unregulated Development Act, 1963, namely, they have raised unauthorized construction at the site. The petitioner has made such allegations in his representations dated 06.06.2016 (P-12) and 18.01.2017 (P-11) also.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any views on the merits of the allegations moreso when we have not heard the private-respondents, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the complaints said to have been

made by the petitioner. However, in case the petitioner is dissatisfied, he may take the plea before the Civil Court in pending litigation.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

April 20, 2017
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No