

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7976 of 2017  
Date of Decision.20.04.2017**

Mitthanlal and others

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Antil, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

-.-

**AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)**

The contention of the petitioners is that in pursuance of the Resolution No.6 dated 12.08.2014 for allotment of plot as Ghade Khad, they had deposited an amount of ₹13,520/- vide receipt Annexure P-1. However, the said resolution was set aside by the Deputy Commissioner vide order dated 03.03.2016 (Annexure P-2) and affirmed by the Additional Chief Secretary vide order dated 16.11.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has submitted a representation dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.3 and will be satisfied if a direction is issued to the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent to take appropriate action on the representation dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-4) within a stipulated period.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent-Municipal Corporation Sonapat, District Sonapat to take appropriate action on the representation dated 15.02.2017 (Annexure P-4) within a period four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if claim

of the petitioners is found appropriate and just, the amount will be refunded

to them forthwith.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE

April 20, 2017  
Pankaj\*

Whether speaking/reasoned      Yes

Whether reportable                  No