

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**Civil Writ Petition No.7811 of 2017
Date of decision: April 24, 2017**

Raj Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

....Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN***

Present: Mr. Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 27.03.2017 (**Annexure P-2**) whereby the property/premises in question i.e. Plot No.12, Transport Nagar, Sector 26, Chandigarh has been sealed by respondent No.3 especially when there is no provision of sealing of premises as per Section 138 of the Punjab Municipal Corporation Act, 1976.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently certain relevant documents including a copy of the suit filed by the landowner against the petitioner in the year 2015 could not be appended with the present writ petition. Accordingly, a prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh petition on the same cause of action by furnishing better particulars and appending all the necessary documents.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.
4. However, it is clarified that as and when, the fresh petition is filed, office shall tag the records of **Civil Writ Petition No.7811 of 2017** and the petitioner shall make reference to the earlier petition as well in the facts of the fresh writ petition.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

April 24, 2017
sonia gugnani

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No