

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

239

**Civil Writ Petition No.7789 of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.09.2017**

Rakesh Tanwar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL***

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of two writ petitions bearing Civil Writ Petition Nos.7789 of 2017 and 17017 of 2016 as learned counsel for the parties state that the identical orders dated 10.09.2015 and notices dated 23.07.2015 have been impugned therein which are appended as Annexures P-10 and P-9 respectively in both the writ petitions. For brevity, the facts are being extracted from Civil Writ Petition No.7789 of 2017.

2. The challenge in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 10.09.2015 (Annexure P-10) passed by the Excise and Taxation Commissioner,

Haryana-respondent No.2 and the notice dated 23.07.2015 (Annexure P-9). Further prayer has also been made to restrain the respondents to recover the amount or to grant stay for the recovery of the amount during the pendency of the writ petition.

3. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petitions with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 19, 2017
sonia gugnani

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No