

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7582 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 18, 2017

Naginder Singh and others **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are aggrieved of the recovery of Leave Travel Concession by the respondent-Bank. The details of the recovery (Annexure P-5) show that the recoveries were made on 01.01.2006, 01.01.2007 and 01.07.2007. Now the period of 10-11 years has been elapsed. It is not recurring loss.

Therefore, the present petition is patently barred by delay and laches. As such, the same is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 18, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No