

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7316 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.05.2017

Randhir and another Petitioners
Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: - Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, for HSIIDC.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

The petitioners have approached this Court impugning acquisition of land invoking the provisions of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short 'the 2013 Act'). The plea raised was that the amount of compensation has not been paid to the petitioners. Learned counsel for the State referred to the facts noticed in the impugned order by the authority wherein it has been specifically mentioned that on account of dispute regarding apportionment of compensation, the amount was deposited in Court on 28.06.2013 under Section 30 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

Considering the aforesaid facts, we do not find that any case for interference is made out under Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act.

Writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

May 23, 2017
R.S.

(Ramendra Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No